



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/799,943 | 03/11/2004 | Takashi Kadowaki | ARV-002 | 7997 |
| 959 | 7590 | 10/03/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP. 28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109 | | | SHAFER, SHULAMITH H | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 1647 | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/799,943 | KADOWAKI ET AL. |
| | Examiner Shulamith H. Shafer, Ph.D. | Art Unit 1647 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 March 2004.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

| | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim(s) 1, 8 in part, drawn to a protein, and a kit containing that protein, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 2-5, 8 in part, drawn to polynucleotides, vectors and host cells, and a kit containing that DNA, vector, and host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1, 320.1, and class 536, subclass 23.4.
- III. Claim 6, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- IV. Claim 7, drawn to a screening method, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The polypeptides of Invention I are related to the polynucleotides of Invention II in that they are encoded thereby and the antibody of Invention III in that they are the cognate antigen. However, each group differs from each other group structurally and functionally.

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is deemed to be proper because these groups constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Inventions I and II are directed to products that are distinct both physically and functionally, are not required one for the other, and are therefore patentably distinct. Further, the polypeptide of Invention I can be prepared by processes which are materially different from the polynucleotides of Invention II, such as chemical synthesis, or by isolation and purification from natural sources. The

polynucleotides of Invention II can be used other than to make the polypeptide of Invention I, such as in gene therapy or as a probe in nucleic acid hybridization assays. The polypeptides of Invention I can be used in materially different methods other than to make the antibody of Invention III, such as in assays to detect binding partners. Finally, although the antibody of Invention III is directed to an antigen encoded by the nucleotides of Invention II, they are distinct structurally and functionally and cannot be used together or interchangeably.

Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the protein of Invention I can be used for other purposes than in a screening method, such as generation of antibodies.

The polynucleotides of Invention II are distinct and unrelated to the method of screening for a ligand, agonist or antagonist to an adiponectin receptor recited in Invention IV. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention IV is drawn to a screening method that does not utilize the polynucleotides of Invention II.

The antibody of Invention III is unrelated to the screening method of Invention IV. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the antibody of Invention III is not required for the screening method for a ligand, agonist or antagonist of Invention IV.

Regardless of whether Inventions I or II is elected, further restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

One specific sequence with SEQ ID NO: i.e. select one sequence from SEQ ID: NO 2, 4, 6 or 8 if Invention I is elected or one sequence from SEQ ID: NO 1, 3, 5 or 7 if Invention II is elected.

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is deemed to be proper because these products constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Each of SEQ ID NOs is a unique and separately patentable sequence, requiring a unique search of the prior art. Searching all of the sequences in a single patent application would constitute an undue search burden on the examiner and the USPTO's resources because of the non-coextensive nature of these searches.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In order to be fully responsive, Applicant must elect one from Groups I - IV, and one from SEQ 2, 4, 6 or 8 or one from SEQ 1, 3, 5, 7 to be examined even though the requirement is traversed.

Claim 8 link(s) Inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between Inventions I and II, the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 8. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In*

re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shulamith H. Shafer, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-3332. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHS

Elizabeth C. Kemmerer

ELIZABETH KEMMERER
PRIMARY EXAMINER